

CDS 131 Homework 4: Lyapunov & I/O Stability

Winter 2025

Due 2/3 at 11:59 PM

Instructions

This homework is divided into three parts:

1. Optional Exercises: the exercises are entirely optional but are recommended to be completed before looking at the problems. They consist of easier, more computational questions to help you get a feel for the material.
2. Required Problems: the problems are the required component of the homework, and might require more work than the exercises to complete.
3. Optional Problems: the optional problems are some additional, recommended problems - some of these might go a little beyond the standard course material.

All you need to turn in is the solutions to the required problems - the others are recommended but not required.

1 Optional Exercises

1.1 Some System Norm Computations

1. Consider a SISO, LTI system with input $u(\cdot)$, output $y(\cdot)$, and transfer function,

$$\hat{G}(s) = \frac{s+2}{4s+1}, \quad (1)$$

Compute the norm $\sup_{\|u\|_\infty=1} \|y\|_\infty$ and find an input signal $u(\cdot)$ achieving this norm.

2. Compute the 1-norm of the impulse response map corresponding to the transfer function,

$$\hat{G}(s) = \frac{1}{\tau s + 1}, \quad \tau > 0. \quad (2)$$

1.2 Delay-Invariant Norms

Recall that the transfer function for a time delay of time τ is $\hat{D}(s) = e^{-s\tau}$. A norm $\|\cdot\|$ on the space of transfer functions is delay-invariant if, for every transfer function \hat{G} with $\|\hat{G}\| < \infty$ and every $\tau > 0$, $\|\hat{D}\hat{G}\| = \|\hat{G}\|$. Is the 2-norm delay invariant? What about the ∞ -norm? You may provide answers in the scalar (SISO) case.

1.3 Evaluating Potential Norms

Consider the set $C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ of continuously differentiable, scalar signals. Which of the following qualifies as a norm on $C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$?

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\dot{u}(t)|, \quad |u(0)| + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\dot{u}(t)|, \quad \max\{\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |u(t)|, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\dot{u}(t)|\}, \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |u(t)| + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\dot{u}(t)|. \quad (3)$$

2 Required Problems

2.1 Lyapunov Certificates of Robust Stability

When analyzing the stability of linear, time-invariant systems, we assumed that we had *perfect* knowledge of the matrix A determining the system's dynamics. In this problem, we'll introduce *uncertainty* into the system, and show that we can use the Lyapunov equation to get a certificate of *robust stability*. Consider an uncertainty set $\tilde{\Delta}$, defined as the convex hull of a finite collection $\{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k\}$, where $\Delta_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$,

$$\tilde{\Delta} = \text{conv}\{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \Delta_i : \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1, 0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}. \quad (4)$$

Consider an unforced, uncertain system $\dot{x}(t) = (A + \Delta)x(t)$, where $\Delta \in \tilde{\Delta}$ is some unknown parameter. If $x_e = 0$ is exponentially stable for $\dot{x}(t) = (A + \Delta)x(t)$ for all $\Delta \in \tilde{\Delta}$, we say that $x_e = 0$ is *robustly exponentially stable*. In this problem, we'll find a certificate for robust exponential stability using *linear matrix inequalities* (LMIs). Let \mathbb{S}^n represent the set of $n \times n$ real, symmetric matrices and V represent a finite-dimensional vector space. A linear matrix inequality in $X \in V$ is a matrix inequality of the form $F(X) \preceq Q$, where $F : V \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ is a linear map, $Q \in \mathbb{S}^n$, and $F(X) \preceq Q$ denotes the positive semidefinite constraint $0 \preceq Q - F(X)$. The linear matrix inequality $F(X) \preceq Q$ has a solution if there exists an $X \in V$ for which $F(X) \preceq Q$.

1. Let's begin by synthesizing an LMI to identify a Lyapunov function for the unforced system $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t)$ without uncertainty. Show that A is Hurwitz if and only if the pair of linear matrix inequalities,

$$I \preceq P, A^\top P + PA \preceq -I, \quad (5)$$

in a symmetric matrix $P \in \mathbb{S}^n$, has a solution.

2. Now, let's introduce the uncertainty set $\tilde{\Delta} = \text{conv}\{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k\}$. Prove there exists a finite collection of linear matrix inequalities for which the existence of a solution to the inequalities implies the origin of $\dot{x} = (A + \Delta)x$, $\Delta \in \tilde{\Delta}$ is robustly exponentially stable.
3. Now, let's introduce control into the system. Consider the uncertain state equation,

$$\dot{x} = (A + \Delta)x + Bu, \quad (6)$$

where $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is a control input and $\Delta \in \tilde{\Delta} = \text{conv}\{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_k\}$. Devise a linear matrix inequality method for computing a matrix $K \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ for which the control law $u = Kx$ renders the equilibrium $x_e = 0$ of $\dot{x} = (A + \Delta)x + Bu$ robustly exponentially stable. Produce an example of $(A, B, \tilde{\Delta})$ for which your method will be successful, and an example for which it will be unsuccessful. *Hints: Your LMIs do not have to directly give you K . How are the eigenvalues of A and A^\top related? Is an LMI allowed to have a product of two unknown variables?*

2.2 Some Special Lyapunov Equations

In this problem, we'll analyze some special cases of the continuous-time Lyapunov equation. Consider the continuous-time, SISO LTI system, $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$, $y = Cx$, for which $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$, and $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

1. Suppose there exists a positive definite matrix $P \in \mathbb{S}^n$, $P \succ 0$, and a constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, for which

$$A^\top P + PA \prec \alpha P. \quad (7)$$

Which region in the complex plane do the eigenvalues of A lie in? Write your answer in terms of α .

2. Let's design a basic feedback controller using the result of part (1). Suppose Equation (7) holds with $\alpha = 0$, and in addition, that $PB = C^\top$. Show that, for a feedback control law $u = -ky$, $k \geq 0$, the equilibrium $x_e = 0$ of the closed-loop system $\dot{x} = Ax - Bky$ is globally exponentially stable.

- Now, suppose $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $P \in \mathbb{S}^n$, $P \succ 0$ satisfy the equality $A^\top P + PA = 0$. Which region of the complex plane do the eigenvalues of A lie in?
- Assuming again that $PB = C^\top$, does the equality $A^\top P + PA = 0$ still guarantee exponential stability for a control law $u = -ky$, $k \geq 0$? If not, what additional conditions would you need?

2.3 LMI Ellipsoid Identification

Consider an unforced, LTI system $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. A forward invariant set for this system is a set $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ for which $x_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ implies $\varphi(t, t_0, x_0) \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $t \geq t_0$, where φ is the (unforced) state transition map. In this problem, we'll develop a technique for certifying the invariance of certain sets.

- An ellipsoid in \mathbb{R}^n , centered at zero, can be defined in two ways:

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^\top S x \leq 1\}, \quad \text{where } S \succ 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x = My, \|y\|_2 \leq 1\}, \quad \text{where } \det M \neq 0. \quad (9)$$

Given S for \mathcal{E}_1 , explain how to find M for \mathcal{E}_2 such that $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}_2$. Given M for \mathcal{E}_2 , explain how to find S for \mathcal{E}_1 such that $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}_2$. Support your claims with proofs.

- Using a collection of linear matrix inequalities, explain how to find an ellipsoid $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, centered at 0, that is forward invariant for $\dot{x} = Ax$ and satisfies $z^{(i)} \in \mathcal{E}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $w^{(j)} \notin \mathcal{E}$, $j = 1, \dots, p$, for $z^{(i)}, w^{(j)}$ some fixed points in \mathbb{R}^n . *Note: you may leave your solution in terms of strict inequalities (e.g. $\prec, <$) for the purposes of this problem. For computer implementation, strict inequalities are not realizable. Think about how you can re-pose your problem with non-strict inequalities!*
- Produce a simple example for which your method from part (2) has a solution, and an example for which it does not. You may present your answer in the form of a simple sketch or in the form of problem data.

2.4 Finite Impulse Response Systems

In this problem, we'll consider a special type of discrete-time system, called a *finite impulse response (FIR)* system. A SISO discrete-time, LTI system with impulse response map $H[\cdot] : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be finite impulse response of horizon N if for all $k > N$, $H[k] = 0$. That is,

$$H[0] = h_0, H[1] = h_1, \dots, H[N] = h_N, H[N+k] = 0, \forall k \geq 1, \quad (10)$$

where $h_0, \dots, h_N \in \mathbb{R}$ are real constants.

- Prove that the transfer function \hat{H} of a SISO, FIR system, must have all of its poles at the origin. Calculate an upper bound on the degree of the denominator of \hat{H} in terms of the FIR horizon.
- Does a (SISO) transfer function $\hat{G} \in \mathcal{RH}_\infty$ with all poles at the origin correspond to an FIR system? Provide a proof or counterexample to support your claim.
- Let's consider input signals of length $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ —input signals which are zero for all integers $k \notin [0, M]$. Calculate—as completely as you can—the 2-norm to 2-norm gain of a SISO FIR system with horizon N , over the set of length M inputs,

$$\sup_{\|u\|_{\ell_2}=1, \text{len}(u)=M} \|H * u\|_{\ell_2}. \quad (11)$$

Prove there exists a length M input u achieving the supremum. *You shouldn't have to use the table of system norms to answer this question!*

- For the same system as above, calculate the infimum,

$$\inf_{\|u\|_{\ell_2}=1, \text{len}(u)=M} \|H * u\|_{\ell_2}. \quad (12)$$

Prove there exists a length M input u achieving the infimum. When (if ever) is this infimum zero?

2.5 Column-Wise Separability

A *functional* is a map from a set into the reals, \mathbb{R} . Consider a space of maps, $\mathcal{F} = \{\hat{G} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{p \times m}, \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}\}$. Let \hat{G}_i denote the i 'th column of an element $\hat{G} \in \mathcal{F}$. A functional $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *column-wise separable* if there exist functionals f_1, \dots, f_m for which

$$f(\hat{G}) = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(\hat{G}_i), \forall \hat{G} \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (13)$$

That is, a functional is column-wise separable if it can be equivalently written via a sum of a collection of functionals, each acting on a column of $\hat{G} \in \mathcal{F}$.

1. Consider $\mathcal{F} = \{\hat{G} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{p \times m}, \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}\}$. Show that the functional $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ mapping $\hat{G} \in \mathcal{F}$ to the square of its Frobenius norm at a point $s_0 \in \Omega$, $f(\hat{G}) = \|\hat{G}(s_0)\|_F^2$, is column-wise separable.
2. Now, suppose $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{RH}_2$, with elements of \mathcal{F} being rational functions of finite \mathcal{H}_2 -norm taking values in $\mathbb{C}^{p \times m}$. Consider the functional $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ taking $\hat{G} \in \mathcal{F}$ to the square of its \mathcal{H}_2 -norm, $f(\hat{G}) = \|\hat{G}\|_2^2$. Is this functional column-wise separable? What about the functional taking \hat{G} to its \mathcal{H}_∞ -norm?
3. Suppose you want to compute a functional of a *large* matrix-valued function on a computer. Why might it be advantageous for the functional to be column-wise separable? Comment on the implications of your answer for the \mathcal{H}_2 and \mathcal{H}_∞ -norms.

3 Optional Problems

3.1 The Sylvester Equation

In class, we examined the continuous-time *Lyapunov equation*. This equation is an instance of a more general type of matrix equation called a *Sylvester equation*. A matrix equation of the form,

$$MX + XN = Q, \quad (14)$$

in an unknown matrix X , is said to be a Sylvester equation in X . In this problem, we'll analyze the set of Sylvester equations using an algebraic approach different to that which we've considered so far.

1. For matrices $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $N \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, the Kronecker product of M and N , denoted $M \otimes N$, is the matrix

$$M \otimes N := \begin{bmatrix} m_{11}N & \dots & m_{1n}N \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ m_{n1}N & \dots & m_{nn}N \end{bmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

Let $X = [x_1, \dots, x_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $Q = [q_1, \dots, q_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, where each x_i and q_i denotes a column of X and Q , respectively. Define,

$$\text{vec}(X) = [x_1^\top \quad \dots \quad x_n^\top]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \quad (16)$$

$$\text{vec}(Q) = [q_1^\top \quad \dots \quad q_n^\top]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2}. \quad (17)$$

Using the Kronecker product, prove there exists a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2 \times n^2}$ for which

$$A \text{vec}(X) = \text{vec}(Q) \iff MX + XN = Q. \quad (18)$$

2. Suppose the eigenvalues of M are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and the eigenvalues of N are μ_1, \dots, μ_n . Show that the eigenvalues of $M \otimes N$ are the n^2 numbers $\lambda_i \mu_j$, $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2$.
3. Using your answers to parts (1) and (2), show there exists a unique solution X to the Sylvester equation $MX + XN = Q$ if and only if $\lambda_i(M) + \lambda_j(N) \neq 0$ for all $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2$. Specialize this result to conclude the CTLE test for a Hurwitz matrix.